

# Charging Options for E-bus

Gitafajar Saptyani Senior Project Advisor – 100 E-Bus Trial in Jakarta

Supporting Zero-Emission Bus Webinar Series 26 November 2020





### **Batteries**

Exhibit 2. There Are Tradeoffs Among the Five Principal Lithium-Ion Battery Technologies

#### Lithium-manganese spinel (LMO) Lithium-nickel-Lithium-nickelcobalt-aluminum (NCA) manganese-cobalt (NMC) Specific energy Specific energy Specific energy Specific Specific Cost Cost Cost Specific power power power Life span Safety Life span Safety Life span Safety Performance Performance Performance Lithium titanate Lithium-iron (LTO) phosphate (LFP) Specific energy Specific energy Specific Specific Cost Cost power power Safety Life span Safety Life span Performance Performance

Source: BCG research.

Note: The farther the colored shape extends along a given axis, the better the performance along that dimension.

Batteries

- Specific Energy
- Specific Power
- Safety
- Lifespan
- Operating temperature
- Cost/kWh







Source: Göhlich, Dietmar & Fay, Tu-Anh & Jefferies, Dominic & Lauth, Enrico & Kunith, Alexander & Zhang, Xudong. (2018). Design of urban electric bus systems. Design Science. 4. 10.1017/dsj.2018.10.

- LTO permits the highest charging power of all technologies, however, owing to its comparatively low energy density, it has the lowest capacity. LTO is only applicable in opportunity-charging systems.
- NMC enables the largest capacity as well as high charging power and therefore lends itself both to AC and DC.
- LFP is only feasible in **slow-charging** situations.





Comparison between Depot Charging Only vs Depot Charging + Opportunity Charging

Depot Charging Only/Slow C	harging Depot Charging + Opportunity Charging
• May need extra fleet to co along high demand corric	• Adherence to service schedules with lesser fleet size
<ul> <li>Less cost of charging infrastructure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Need additional charging infrastructure. Cost may go up.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>High upfront cost due to l battery</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lower battery size can be used resulting in lower bus cost</li> </ul>









- The Japanese CHAdeMO standard,
- The European Combined Charging Standard (CCS), which is also applied in North America, and
- The Chinese GB/T standard or protocol.



Smart charging systems are software-based solutions that allow its users to achieve certain objectives such as capping the peak power demand by intelligently controlling and phasing the charging cycles of buses over time.



Fig. 2: Comparison of the network load with and without load management for 15 electric buses. Savings calculated over 10 years.



## Thank you!

#### Gitafajar Saptyani

Senior Project Adviser, Jakarta gitafajar.saptyani@giz.de

### Lyan B. Villacorta Regional Engagement Manager for Asia Ivillacorta@c40.org

Funding partners:

Implementing agencies:





